

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,412	11/14/2001	Akifumi Hosoya	111106	7048
25944	7590 01/08/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			EXAMINER	
	RIA, VA 22320		PEREZ, GUILLERMO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Advisory Action	09/987,412	HOSOYA ET AL.	I.
Navicory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Guillermo Perez	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a complete in a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by			
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	· ·	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	is.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li></ol>	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		20	$\nearrow$
	OURTR	NESTOR RAMIREZ	MINICO
		VISORY PATENT EXA HNOLOGY CENTER 2	
	TEO	INVOLUTI CENTER 2	UUU





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the limitations added to claim 9 require further consideration beyond the 102(e) rejection applied.